I'm not a robot

Humans first went to the Moon in Apollo missions. To some, the feat seems so farfetched they would rather believe the false notion it was filmed on Earth. To make an in-joke out of it, some films & other media featured the conspiracy theory as a parody. Flat-Earthers and other believers of the moon hoax conspiracy theory naturally cannot realize that they are being featured as objects of a joke. These parodies spread in their communities as though they are “proof” or “endorsements” of their false belief. Continue reading “Parody” An atmospheric duct is a horizontal layer in the lower atmosphere in which a thermal inversion causes light rays to be trapped and continuously guided near Earth’s surface. They tend to follow Earth’s curvature without escaping to space. Water heats up slower than air and will be cooler than air most of the time. In turn, the colder water cools down the layer of air just above it, creating a thermal inversion that can form a duct. It is the reason flat-Earthers like to perform observations from very close to the water’s surface. It will be easier for light to bend, revealing objects that are geometrically behind the curvature. Then, they will incorrectly attribute it to “the lack of curvature.” Continue reading “Atmospheric Duct” Before 2009, Apollo missions images that we saw were scanned from the secondary copies that NASA distributed to research facilities after each mission. Only in 2009 NASA made high-resolution scans from the original films & made them available to the public. Today, we can still find the older scan results. Flat-Earthers like to find defects in these low-quality scans & use the fact as “evidence” they are just paintings. In reality, they are scanned from the printed photos, which can preserve the texture of the photo paper itself. Continue reading “Digital Scans of Images From the Apollo Missions” The Hafele–Keating experiment was a test of time dilation. Four atomic clocks were flown around Earth, following and against Earth’s rotation, then compared to those remaining on the ground. The results were consistent with relativity. The theory of relativity can be counterintuitive & difficult to understand. The Hafele–Keating experiment demonstrates a direct measurement of time dilation, a specific aspect of relativity, using a practical concept in our everyday lives. Continue reading “Hafele–Keating Experiment” Conspiracy theorists, including flat-earthers, like to assign each letter in the alphabet a number, come up with a really creative way to get “666” out of a word, then associate the word with “evil.” In reality, it is just numerology and has no basis in reality. The website 666generator.com shows us that we can always find a way to get “666” out of practically any word with more than a few letters, demonstrating the absurdity of this practice. Continue reading “666” A globe is a scaled-down model of the spherical Earth. It can be used to explain the down direction on the real Earth, which is toward the center of the globe. Flat-Earthers like to confuse the down direction explained by the model with that of the real Earth. In reality, the down direction we experience is different from that explained by the globe, which is only a model of the actual Earth. Stars exhibit proper motion. They move relative to other stars in the sky, albeit very slowly. Due to its proper motion, in 1992, the star Rho Aquilae crossed the boundary of its original constellation, Aquila, to the neighboring Delphinus. Constellations appear the same for years, and flat-Earthers wrongly use it as “evidence” stars are stationary. In reality, with precise measurements, we know the stars are moving. Continue reading “Rho Aquilae and Stellar Proper Motion” “Pigeon chess” is a figure of speech & an Internet lexicon, referring to debates with science deniers like flat-Earthers. They are ignorant of the subject matter but standing on a dogmatic position that cannot be moved with any amount of education or logic but who always proclaims victory. The term originates from an Amazon.com book review: “Debating creationists on the topic of evolution is rather like trying to play chess with a pigeon; it knocks the pieces over, craps on the board, and flies back to its flock to claim victory.” Continue reading “Pigeon Chess” A video hoax spread in flat-Earth communities, incorrectly claiming the woman in the video is astronaut Karen Nyberg, supposedly in the process of faking microgravity in front of a green screen. In reality, the woman in the video is Paige Windle, who made the video with a flat-Earther (also her partner), David Weiss. Weiss did attempt to correct it, but many flat-Earthers do not appear to be aware that Weiss was trying to say the woman is not Karen Nyberg, nor are they willing to accept being victims of a hoax. Continue reading “Karen Nyberg Green Screen Video Hoax” Claimed by: David James (Facebook account) Object 1: Name: Gunung Raya, Langkawi Distance: 68.2 miles / 109.76 km Elevation: 2830 ft / 862.6 m Object 2: Name: Northwest Ridge of Langkawi Distance: 74.5 miles / 119.9 km Elevation: 2200 ft/ 670.6 m Observer: Location: Golden Sands Hotel, Penang, Malaysia Elevation: 85 ft / 26 m Continue reading “Curvature Analysis: Langkawi from Penang, Malaysia” Flat-Earthers like to use the erroneous “8 inches per mile squared” to calculate the height hidden by Earth’s curvature. The following is the correct equation for the purpose, accounting for the observer’s height & atmospheric refraction Continue reading “Correct Hidden Formula” The strength of atmospheric refraction is not constant but varies depending on the weather condition. As a result, a distant object usually obscured by Earth’s curvature can sometimes be visible if the refraction is strong enough. Lake Pontchartrain transmission towers demonstrate Earth’s curvature. Flat-Earthers like to cherry-pick moments where the towers appear straight, ignoring other cases. In reality, they look straight only because the refraction was strong enough. Continue reading “Refraction Effect on the Lake Pontchartrain Power Transmission Line” The photo of Pic Gaspard taken from Pic de Finestrelles is one of the farthest line-of-sight photos of an object on Earth’s surface, taken from another location on Earth’s surface. It is possible to take the photo due to the height of the peaks & atmospheric refraction. The apparent height of Pic Gaspard relative to the other peaks proves Earth is a sphere. If Earth were flat, Pic Gaspard should appear higher than Grand Ferrand from the observer’s location. Simulations also give us the same results as observation, confirming that it is really what would look like on a spherical Earth. Continue reading “Pic Gaspard” Earth’s magnetic field does not only align horizontally but also has a vertical component. The closer to the magnetic poles, the steeper the dip angle. A dip circle can be used to measure this dip angle. It is basically a compass for the vertical direction. Compass points horizontally and flat-Earthers wrongly use it as “evidence” of a flat Earth. In reality, a compass is balanced to counter the effect of magnetic dip. We can use a dip circle to measure the actual dip angle of the Earth’s magnetic field. Continue reading “Dip Circle” Flat-Earthers like to confuse won’t with can’t. If they notice an act that would support spherical Earth, but we do not do, they will incorrectly claim, “it must be impossible because Earth is flat.” There are many things we can do but decide against doing for various reasons. In other words, “we can but won’t.” The fact that we won’t do a thing does not necessarily mean we can’t do it. Continue reading “Confusing Won’t with Can’t” A hoax in flat-Earth circles claims that Earth in the Earthrise photo taken by Apollo 8 is identical to a similar photo taken by Apollo 11. In reality, they are clearly not identical at all. It might have been caused by an error by an online source or someone trolling flat-Earthers. However, it demonstrates flat-Earthers’ lack of critical thinking, as it can be easily shown the claim is false by finding the originals from NASA’s image archive. Continue reading “Apollo 8 vs Apollo 11 Earthrise Photos” The Lake Pontchartrain power transmission pylons demonstrate Earth’s curvature. Flat-Earthers invented various excuses to dismiss the observation, including the excuse that it was just a perspective effect. If it were just a perspective effect, the same parts of the pylons would line up in a straight line, converging into a distant point. In reality, they do not line up in a straight line but are visibly curving downward due to the curvature of the Earth. Continue reading “Perspective and the Lake Pontchartrain Transmission Line” Flat-Earthers reject gravity. They use various excuses to discredit the Cavendish experiment, an important experiment about gravity. Continue reading “Flat Earthers’ Excuses About the Cavendish Experiment” A weighing scale measures mass by measuring the gravitational force exerted by the object we measure. Because the magnitude of the force depends on the strength of the gravitational acceleration, the result can vary depending on the location. It is the reason the scale needs to be calibrated after it is moved to another location. On the other hand, a balance measures mass by comparing the object’s mass to a previously known mass. Because both masses are affected by the same gravitational acceleration, a balance is not affected by the change in gravitational acceleration. Continue reading “Weighing Scale vs Weighing Balance” Authagraph is a map projection that attempts to preserve the size and shape of landmasses. It was popularized by the media. The media dubbed the Authagraph as “the most accurate world map.” Flat-Earthers use it as “evidence” of the failure of science to describe the world. In reality, no world map can avoid all distortion. Authagraph attempts to preserve the accurate size and shape of landmasses at the expense of other properties. Continue reading “Authagraph Map Projection” Once widely accepted, the geocentric model is now a debunked theory that the Earth is the center of the universe, with the sun and planets revolving around it. Nevertheless, some still believe the universe revolves around them. According to a 2012 survey conducted by the National Science Foundation of 2,200 people in the United States, when asked "does the Earth go around the sun, or does the sun go around Earth?" a quarter answered incorrectly. This antiquated view was once our only perspective of the cosmos. The ancient Greeks were the first to suggest a geocentric view of the universe. According to NASA, Eudoxus was the first to create a model of the geocentric universe around 380 BCE. Aristotle then came up with a more detailed geocentric model, which was later refined by Claudius Ptolemaeus (also known as Ptolemy) in his treatise Almagest, which was released in the 2nd century CE according to the Mathematical Association of America. NASA stated that "Ptolemy represents the epitome of knowledge of Grecian astronomy". This is reflected in the fact that the geocentric model stood the test of time and was accepted for nearly 1,500 years. You may like Related: Star maps through the ages: A Q&A with the author of 'The Sky Atlas' For thousands of years humans have tried to make sense of the skies above and our understanding of the universe has evolved alongside technological advances and scientific knowledge. When gazing up at the night sky from what feels like a fixed reference point and witnessing the stars and planets dance across the sky we can understand why the ancient Greeks adopted the geocentric view of the universe. They believed that the Earth was at the center with the sky or 'heavens' rotating around it in a series of layered spheres. According to the educational website Lumen Learning, Ptolemy's complicated geocentric model stated that a planet moves in a small circle (known as an epicycle), the epicycle then moves around Earth in a larger circle (known as a deferent). The order of the solar system with regards to the geocentric model, according to Penn State University is Earth (stationary and at the center), moon, Mercury, Venus, sun, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn. As stars appeared to move much slower than the planets, they were placed in the outermost sphere, furthest away from Earth, according to Lumen Learning. Despite the complexity of the model, this view was widely accepted for many years. And most of the time the model worked. It could explain why stars appear to rotate around Earth once per day and why planets move differently to stars. According to NASA, The geocentric model also conformed to religious beliefs at the time as many Greek philosophers and astronomers believed that the gods created man and the heavens are divine then of course we must lie at the center of it all. Related: What's the story behind the stars? The geocentric model was refined by Claudius Ptolemaeus (also known as Ptolemy) in his treatise Almagest. (Image credit: Photos.com via Getty Images)Assumptions of the geocentric modelScientific models are used to test our understanding of the laws of science by predicting the behavior of a system. If observations of a real event match predictions made by a model then we know the model is a good fit; however, if the observations do not match predictions made, then the model needs to be reworked. According to Penn State University, the ancient Greeks firmly held onto several scientific assumptions that formed the basis of the geocentric model:The Earth is the center of the universe and is stationary.The planets, sun and stars revolve around Earth.All motions in the sky should follow circular paths as objects are attached to spherical shells. Objects obeyed the rules of 'natural motion' meaning they orbited Earth at the same speed.The Copernican RevolutionThough the geocentric model stood the test of time for nearly 1,500 years and could explain some observations of the cosmos as well as conforming to religious beliefs at the time, it was by no means 'simple'. Occam's razor is a term used by scientists to help guide the creation of theoretical models. According to the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), it doesn't necessarily mean to go with the simplest theory whether it's right or wrong; it instead "tries to cut through the clutter to find the best theory based on the best scientific principles and knowledge at the time".At the beginning of the 16th century, Nicolaus Copernicus challenged the geocentric model and proposed that the Earth and other planets revolve around the sun. This sun-centered heliocentric model simplified the motion of the planets by removing the more complicated ideas of epicycles and deferents, according to Lumen Learning. Aware that his views that we were no longer at the center of the universe would ruffle a few feathers in both the scientific and religious communities, Copernicus waited to publish his theory De revolutionibus orbium coelestium (On the Revolutions of the Celestial Spheres) until 1543, the year of his death. Copernicus stated his heliocentric model that could explain the apparent changes in the motion of the planets and the "Copernican Revolution" began. Though more accurate than the geocentric model, Copernicus' heliocentric model still had its flaws. According to an article published in The Conversation, Copernicus suggested that Mars' retrograde motion across the sky was merely an illusion, caused by Earth "overtaking" the Red Planet as they orbit the sun. Copernicus' heliocentric model failed to fully explain the motion of the planets as it was based on the planets moving in perfect circles. Johannes Kepler then refined the model by stating that the planets moved in elliptical orbits rather than circular. Kepler's model then matched observations perfectly so the model no longer needed reworking. Gradual heliocentric acceptanceDespite the evidence supporting the simplified heliocentric model, the scientific community was slow to accept a shift from an Earth-centered to a sun-centered view. The theory had been accepted by most for over 1,500 years after all. According to Lumen Learning, the main turning point for heliocentric acceptance was when Galileo Galilei gazed up at the sky with a telescope in 1610. Galileo made countless discoveries but two in particular proved key to confirming the heliocentric view of the solar system. Firstly, Galileo discovered moons orbiting around Jupiter which proved objects could orbit objects other than Earth. Secondly, he discovered that — like the moon — Venus had phases, which further confirmed the theory that Venus (and the other planets in the solar system) orbit the sun. It took almost a century for the new heliocentric model to be accepted after it was first proposed by Copernicus. Eventually, scientists couldn't reject it anymore due to the mounting scientific evidence in support of heliocentrism. The new sun-centered model fundamentally changed our view of the universe and continues to aid our understanding of solar systems beyond our own. Additional resourcesWatch an animated model of the Ptolemaic system from the Dutton Institute, showing how the moon and sun were thought to orbit Earth. Learn more about the Copernican Revolution with the Library of Congress. Explore the heliocentric theory in more detail with educational website Study.com Breaking space news, the latest updates on rocket launches, skywatching events and more! Geocentrism is a superseded description of the universe with Earth at the center. Under geocentrism, celestial bodies all orbited Earth. Geocentrism was the accepted model of the Universe for approximately 18 centuries; before we knew that Earth orbits the Sun —or what we call as heliocentrism. We often observe flat-Earthers using the arguments for geocentrism as if it supports the flat Earth model. They are wrong. Under geocentrism, the Earth is a sphere, located at the center of the universe. It is not remotely compatible with the flat Earth model, which is an even more archaic understanding of the Universe. The flat-Earth is an archaic conception of Earth’s shape as a plane or disc, subscribed by many ancient cultures. In the modern, pseudoscientific belief in a flat Earth, they added various conspiracy theories and ad-hoc explanations, like the Antarctica ice wall, the explanation of gravity, the new world order, etc. The flat-Earth model is superseded by the geocentric model; or geocentrism. Geocentrism accepted that the Earth is a sphere, and placed it in the center of the Universe. From 16 century onward, the geocentric model is challenged by the heliocentric model, in which planets —including the Earth— are in orbit around the Sun. The model was not accepted immediately. It required several centuries before it was finally accepted as the working model as the Universe. There was much resistance to the transition from geocentrism to heliocentrism. Modern flat-Earthers hijack these centuries-old of controversies and use the various arguments for geocentrism to ‘disprove’ Earth is a sphere. They are wrong. Just because geocentrism is at odds with heliocentrism, it does not mean geocentrism supports the flat-Earth model. In reality, geocentrism is not remotely compatible with the flat Earth model. References Skywatching & Cameras Editor Heliocentric vs. Geocentric: Cosmic Models Explained The debate between heliocentric and geocentric models represents one of the most significant shifts in human understanding of the universe. The geocentric model places Earth at the center of the cosmos, with celestial bodies orbiting around it, while the heliocentric model positions the Sun at the center, with Earth and other planets revolving around it. For centuries, the geocentric perspective dominated scientific and religious thought until astronomical observations and mathematical proofs confirmed the heliocentric model. This transition marked a major breakthrough in science, philosophy, and human perception of the universe. The geocentric model was the dominant cosmological framework in many ancient civilizations, including the Babylonian, Greek, Egyptian, and medieval European traditions. It was formalized by Claudius Ptolemy in the 2nd century CE and remained widely accepted for over 1,400 years. Earth is at the fixed center of the universe. The Sun, Moon, planets, and stars move in perfect circular orbits around Earth. The heavens are considered unchanging and divine, separate from the imperfect Earth. Epicycles (small circular orbits within larger orbits) were introduced to explain retrograde motion. Naked-Eye Observation – The Sun and stars appear to rise and set around Earth, reinforcing the idea that Earth is stationary. Religious and Philosophical Views – Many traditions saw Earth as the divine center of creation. Ptolemaic System – Ptolemy’s Almagest provided detailed mathematical models supporting geocentrism. Despite its widespread acceptance, the geocentric model became increasingly complicated as new astronomical data emerged, requiring constant modifications to explain planetary movements. The heliocentric model was first proposed by Aristarchus of Samos in the 3rd century BCE but was largely dismissed in favor of geocentrism. It was later revived and mathematically developed by Nicolaus Copernicus in the 16th century, leading to the Copernican Revolution. The Sun is at the center of the solar system. Earth and other planets orbit the Sun in elliptical paths (later proven by Johannes Kepler). Earth rotates on its axis, explaining day and night. Retrograde motion of planets is a result of Earth’s movement, eliminating the need for epicycles. Copernicus (1543) – Published De revolutionibus orbium coelestium, presenting a mathematical argument for a Sun-centered system. Galileo Galilei (1609) – Used a telescope to observe: Moons orbiting Jupiter (disproving that all celestial bodies revolve around Earth). Phases of Venus (proving Venus orbits the Sun). Johannes Kepler (1609-1619) – Introduced the laws of planetary motion, showing that orbits are elliptical, not circular. Isaac Newton (1687) – Explained planetary motion using the law of universal gravitation, providing mathematical proof for heliocentrism. These discoveries fundamentally changed astronomy, leading to the acceptance of the heliocentric model as the correct representation of the solar system. The transition from geocentrism to heliocentrism was not just a scientific shift but also a profound philosophical and religious challenge. Many religious authorities initially rejected heliocentrism, as it contradicted scriptural interpretations of Earth’s central position. The Catholic Church condemned Galileo’s findings in 1616 and placed him under house arrest in 1633. Over time, scientific discoveries led to a reinterpretation of theological perspectives, allowing religion and science to coexist. Shift from Anthropocentrism – Humanity no longer saw itself as the focal point of the cosmos, leading to a more expansive understanding of existence. Rise of Scientific Inquiry – The heliocentric model encouraged a methodological approach to knowledge, emphasizing observation, experimentation, and reason. Influence on Philosophy and the Enlightenment – Philosophers like Descartes, Kant, and others integrated heliocentrism into discussions of knowledge, perception, and reality. The acceptance of heliocentrism marked the beginning of modern astronomy and scientific thought, paving the way for further exploration of space. While the heliocentric model remains the foundation of modern astronomy, our understanding of the universe has expanded significantly. The Solar System is Not the Center of the Universe – The Sun is just one of billions of stars within the Milky Way Galaxy. The Milky Way is Not the Center of the Universe – The galaxy itself is part of the Laniakea Supercluster, moving within a vast cosmic structure. The Expanding Universe – Observations by Edwin Hubble in the 1920s confirmed that galaxies are moving away from each other, leading to the Big Bang Theory. While the heliocentric model correctly describes our solar system, it is now seen as part of a much larger cosmic framework. Modern astrophysics explores dark matter, dark energy, and cosmic inflation, which go beyond the simple Sun-centered model. Some spiritual and metaphysical traditions interpret the heliocentric vs. geocentric debate symbolically, representing shifts in consciousness and perception. The belief that Earth is at the center mirrors a human-centered worldview, where humanity sees itself as the most important force in existence. This perspective aligns with earlier religious, cultural, and personal identity constructs that placed humans at the center of reality. The shift to heliocentrism represents a transition to a broader awareness, acknowledging that Earth is part of a much larger cosmic order. Some esoteric teachings suggest this mirrors spiritual awakening, where individuals move beyond self-centered views to a more universal understanding of existence. Modern spiritual thought often embraces a holographic or multidimensional view of the universe, where reality is not just physical but also influenced by energetic and vibrational forces. Concepts such as cosmic consciousness, fractal universes, and galactic alignment reflect the continuous expansion of our understanding of the cosmos. The shift from geocentric to heliocentric models was one of the most profound transformations in human thought, shaping science, philosophy, and culture. While heliocentrism remains the foundation of modern astronomy, it is now understood as part of a much larger cosmic picture, where our solar system is just one of countless systems in an expanding universe. Beyond science, the evolution from Earth-centered to Sun-centered thinking serves as a metaphor for personal and collective growth, moving from limited perspectives to greater cosmic awareness. Related Entries Geocentric means sun revolves around earth (earth-centered system) while heliocentric means earth revolves around sun (sun-centered system). In the current world scenario, no one can deny the fact that the earth revolves around the sun. But, there was a time (almost 5 centuries ago) when the whole world believed that the sun indeed revolves around the earth. Before going into the broad discussion that what revolves around what and on what basis, let me present you a short and crisp review regarding the difference between geocentric and heliocentric models of the solar system. From the above geocentrism vs heliocentrism tabular form, you got the exact overview of these two. However, in order to get to know them in detail, let us try to understand both of them in a detailed format. Keep reading! What is Geocentric Theory? An illustration of the Ptolemaic model by Portuguese cosmographer Bartolomeu Velho in 1568. Credit: Wikimedia Commons As the name suggests, according to the geocentric theory, Earth is the center of the universe. And, all the other planets, stars, sun (everything) revolves around the earth in a circular motion. See the above image for proper understanding. This theory comes in the list of obsolete cosmological theories of the universe. In Geocentrism, the order of spheres from the Earth outward is as follow: Moon Mercury Venus Sun Mars Jupiter Saturn Fixed Stars So on. Not to mention, sometimes people get confused with the fact that how to address geocentrism. I mean, some physicists refer to it as an Aristotelean Universe. Conversely, some refer to it as a Ptolemaic Universe. Well, what to call it? See, the point is that both ways of referring the geocentrism are factually correct. All you need to know is why the earth-centered universe is referred to as the Aristotelian or Ptolemaic system. And, for that, you should understand the basic physics behind it, Woops, mathematics too. Geocentrism: Aristotelian Universe or Ptolemaic Universe? As I said, it’s just a game of physics and mathematics. Confused? Don’t worry! I will tell you how! See, the one and the only difference between them is that the Aristotelian universe defines the physical aspects (physics) of geocentrism. While, on the other hand, the Ptolemaic Universe outlines the mathematical solutions behind the working of the geocentric theory of the solar system or universe. Therefore, as per utility, the way we refer to it varies. For example, if I am talking in terms of physics, I will refer to it as the Aristotelian model of the universe. Similarly, if I am talking in terms of mathematics, I will refer to it as a Ptolemaic model of the Universe. Moreover, if I am talking in terms of both physics and mathematics, I will refer to it as Aristotelian-Ptolemaic Universe. Aristotelian Universe: Physical Interpretation of Geocentrism In Aristotelian physics, the universe is largely divided into two distinct spheres. These are terrestrial sphere: a place where humans can live and evolve. And, on the other hand, a celestial sphere: a place where no human can ever reach. This is how Aristotle’s 4 corruptible classical elements transform into one another/Credit: Wikimedia Commons According to the Aristotelian cosmology, only earth constitutes the terrestrial sphere. Therefore, made up of 4 classical elements (Fire, Air, Earth, and, Water). Not to mention, in today’s world, these four classical elements are equivalent to modern chemical elements. Moving ahead, apart from earth, everything else in this universe constitutes the celestial spheres. As no human can reach the celestial or so-called heavenly spheres, Aristotle, in his treatise On The Heavens mentioned it as an in-corruptable place, hence made of Aether. Additionally, in the Aristotelian world, our natural satellite i.e the moon has the most important role to play. The moon acts as the divider between the two spheres of the Aristotelian Cosmology. In other words, the terrestrial sphere lies below the sphere of the moon. Similarly, the celestial spheres lie above the sphere of the moon. Ptolemaic Universe: Mathematical Interpretation of Geocentrism The basic elements of Ptolemaic astronomy, showing a planet on an epicycle (smaller dashed circle), a deferent (larger dashed circle), the eccentric (×), and an equant (•). Credit: Wikimedia Commons A Ptolemaic system is basically a mathematical generalization of the Aristotelian World. In other words, Claudius Ptolemy, in his treatise Amalgest defines the motion of the celestial objects as per geocentrism. Not only he defined the existing model, he, in fact, modified it to some extent. Unlike Aristotle, he argued that each planet is supposed to be moved by the system of two spheres. See the above image for proper understanding. He called the first sphere the Deferent: a circle whose center point is removed from the earth. He used the deferent to explain the change in the length of the seasons. Similarly, he called the second sphere an epicycle, which he used to explain the retrograde motion of the planets. Must read, Copernican Model: Slayer of the Earth-Centered Universe Even after the modification done by Ptolemy, there were some notable errors that had deeply troubled the astronomers and physicists of that time. Some of the errors include the retrograde motion of the mars, the phenomenon of stellar parallax, etc. Regarding stellar parallax, Ptolemy used to argue that if Earth is actually revolving around the sun. Then, as a result, there has to be the observation of stellar parallax, which was simply not possible at that time. WHY? Because there was no telescope. Hence, astronomers had to take observation through the naked eyes. Andreas Cellarius’s illustration of the Copernican model, from the Harmonia Macrocosmica (1708). Credit: Wikimedia Commons As the name suggests, according to the heliocentric theory, Sun is the center of the solar system. And, all the other planets including earth revolves around the sun in a circular motion. Obviously, you would be thinking that why I said circular motion, not elliptical motion. Well, allow me to clear the air around the two. See, the point is that when I am referring to heliocentric theory as Copernican heliocentrism, I am talking in terms of the circular motion of planets around the sun. In other words, the Copernicus model of the solar system is the outdated version of the solar system. On the other hand, when I am referring to heliocentric theory as Kepler’s heliocentrism, I am talking in terms of the elliptical motion of the planets around the sun. In other words, Kepler’s laws of planetary motion or Kepler’s heliocentrism is the current or prevailing version of the solar system. In the Sun-Centered Solar system, the order of spheres from the Sun outward is as follow: Mercury Venus Earth Moon Mars Jupiter Saturn Fixed Stars So on. Credit: MathWorks Not to mention, there were some notable errors in the Copernican model. Therefore, as a result, Kepler’s heliocentrism or Kepler’s laws of planetary motion superseded the Copernican heliocentrism. In other words, just because Copernicus used circular motion, the Copernican heliocentrism drastically failed to explain why planets revolve around the sun at different speeds at different locations. Kepler, therefore, by replacing the circular orbits with the elliptical ones, of course, with the help of Tycho Brahe’s Work, showed that how planets speed up at certain points in their orbits and slowed down at others. Just to give you a brief presentation, let me explain the difference between them in a tabular form. That’s it for this post. If you like this article, share it if you like it, like it if you share it. You can also find us on Mix, Twitter, Pinterest, and Facebook. There are many terms that are of great importance in the subject of astrophysics. Many models are present, which are useful for describing the occurrence of the sun and how planets behave in the universe to.The geocentric model places Earth at the center of the universe, while the Heliocentric model places the Sun at the center.The geocentric model suggests that other celestial bodies, such as planets, revolve around Earth, while the Heliocentric model suggests that planets revolve around the Sun.The heliocentric model is based on scientific evidence and observation, while the Geocentric model is based on ancient beliefs and lacks evidence.The difference between geocentric and heliocentric is that wild studying how the earthworks, there is an assumption that the earth is said to be at the centre of the universe or at the cosmos in a geocentric model. On the other hand, when we study planets, the sun is always set to be at the centre of the universe to which the planets revolve, around is the assumption when it comes to the heliocentric model.In the subject of astrophysics, there is a model called the geocentric model in which the study makes an assumption that the earth is always at the centre of the universe.In the subject of astrophysics, there is a model called the heliocentric model in which the study makes an assumption that the sun is always at the centre of the universe full stop, in short, it means that in a When study precedes through a heliocentric model, a universe is present with the sun in between.Also Read: Electric Field vs Electric Potential: Difference and ComparisonParameters of ComparisonGeocentricHeliocentricNumber of lettersThis word consists of 10 letters.This word consists of 12 letters.Basic assumptionThe Earth is the center of the universe.The Sun is the center of the universe.What it denotesThis denotes that all objects, which include the moon, sun, planets, and other orbits, revolve around the Earth.This denotes that all other parts such as the earth, moon, and stars revolve around the sun.What it statesThis states that the stars revolve around the earth.This states that the earth revolves around its own axis, and because of this, it feels like the stars are moving.Which motion bodies flowHeavenly bodies move in a circular motion.Heavenly bodies move in an elliptical motion. Pin This Now to Remember It Later Pin This Including Aristotle in classical Greece as well as many other theories of ancient civilizations, There was a standard description in the cosmos about the earth always being at the centre.The first observation stated that the sun only appears around the earth once per day when it is observed from anywhere inside the earth, and the other observation stated that when a person is on earth, he will not be able to sense any movement of his planet because it is present in all three forms that are solid, liquid and gas.In many ancient civilizations and many medieval philosophers, they assumed the geometric model that the earth is spherical and does not have a flat surface.In the subject of astrophysics, the heliocentric model is totally the opposite of the geocentric model. The theory of the assumption of the sun being at the centre of the universe was developed long back in the 3rd century.Also Read: Adjustment Disorder vs PTSD: Difference and ComparisonHowever, even though this theory had come back long back in the 3rd century, a proper model of this theory was not proposed until the 16th century.Based on this theory, many other personalities, such as Johannes Kepler and Galileo Galilei, introduced elliptical orbits through a telescope.The geocentric model states that the stars revolve around the earth, and on the other hand, the heliocentric theory states that the earth revolves around its own axis, and because of this, it feels like the stars are moving.Heavenly bodies move in circular motion according to the geocentric theory end. On the other hand, according to the heliocentric theory, heavenly bodies move in an elliptical motion.References Geocentric means earth at the universe's center. Heliocentric means sun at the solar system's center.The geocentric model positions Earth as the universe's fixed center, around which all celestial bodies orbit. In contrast, the heliocentric model posits the Sun as the solar system's central body, with Earth and other planets orbiting it.Historically, the geocentric view was widely accepted, reflecting the perception of Earth's stationary nature. However, the heliocentric perspective, introduced by Copernicus, revolutionized our understanding, highlighting Earth's motion around the Sun.In geocentric theory, the apparent retrograde motion of planets is explained by complex epicycles. Conversely, the heliocentric model simplifies this with elliptical orbits, offering a clearer explanation of planetary movements.The geocentric model's influence persisted in medieval astronomy and philosophy. The heliocentric model, though initially controversial, gradually gained acceptance, underpinning modern astronomy.From a scientific standpoint, the geocentric model has been superseded by the heliocentric, which aligns with contemporary astronomical observations and theories.Earth is the central body.Planets orbit Earth in complex paths.Planets orbit the Sun in elliptical paths.Dominant in ancient and medieval astronomy.Emerged in the Renaissance, replacing geocentrism.Less accurate, based on perception.More accurate, supported by empirical data.Reinforced Earth's perceived significance.Challenged human centrality in the universe.Earth-centered reference frame.Geocentric perspectives were common in ancient cosmology.Using the Sun as a reference point.Heliocentric calculations are crucial in space missions.Measured from Earth's center.Geocentric coordinates determine a satellite's position relative to Earth.The heliocentric system shows Earth orbiting the Sun.Using Earth as a fixed point.Geocentric navigation systems calculate positions based on Earth.Pertaining to or measured from the Sun.Heliocentric distances measure planets from the Sun.Relating to Earth as the center.In the geocentric model, stars revolve around Earth.Considering the Sun as a central factor.Heliocentric theories revolutionized our understanding of the solar system.Focused on Earth in analysis or discussion.His geocentric viewpoint emphasized Earth's role in the universe.Sun-centered celestial model.Copernicus proposed the heliocentric model in the 16th century.Relating to, measured from, or with respect to the center of the earth.Of or relating to a reference system based at the center of the sun.Having the earth as a center.Having the sun as the center.Having the Earth at the center.A geocentric coordinate system(astronomy) Having the sun at the center/centre; usually in reference to a coordinate system or orbit. 17A heliocentric coordinate system(cosmology) Of or relating to geocentrism, an obsolete cosmology which placed the Earth at the center of the universe.(cosmology) Of or relating to heliocentrism.Having, considering, or based on, the earth as center; as, the geocentric theory of the universe; in relation to or seen from the earth, - usually opposed to heliocentric, as seen from the sun; as, the geocentric longitude or latitude of a planet.Pertaining to the sun's center, or appearing to be seen from it; having, or relating to, the sun as a center; - opposed to geocentrical.Having the earth as the centerHaving the sun as the centerNicolaus Copernicus in the 16th century.A model with the Sun at the solar system's center.A model placing Earth at the universe's center.By describing orbits as ellipses around the Sun.Through complex mechanisms like epicycles and deferents.It matched the then-observable celestial movements and philosophical beliefs.It sparked debates and initially faced resistance from religious authorities.Yes, but with less accuracy compared to the heliocentric model.Ancient astronomers like Ptolemy.Observations of planetary motions and data from telescopic studies.It provided a basis for astronomical calculations before being disproven.Yes, it shaped philosophical and cultural perspectives on humanity's place in the universe.Yes, it's the basis of modern astronomy.The geocentric places Earth at the center, while the heliocentric places the Sun.The heliocentric model is taught as the correct representation of our solar system.Yes, it aligned with certain religious teachings about Earth's centrality.Only in specific contexts like navigational systems.It revolutionized astronomy and challenged existing worldviews.Telescopic observations and empirical data collection.It guides spacecraft navigation and planetary exploration.Malic Acid vs. Citric AcidIdea vs. PerspectiveJanet WhiteJanet White has been an esteemed writer and blogger for Difference Wiki. Holding a Master's degree in Science and Medical Journalism from the prestigious Boston University, she has consistently demonstrated her expertise and passion for her field. When she's not immersed in her work, Janet relishes her time exercising, delving into a good book, and cherishing moments with friends and family.Aimie CarlsonAimie Carlson, holding a master's degree in English literature, is a fervent English language enthusiast. She lends her writing talents to Difference Wiki, a prominent website that specializes in comparisons, offering readers insightful analyses that both captivate and inform.Exploring the nuances of the world around us. Difference.Wiki is your trusted source for understanding the contrasts and comparisons that matter. Stay curious, stay informed.abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzCopyright © 2015 - 2025 Difference Wiki The geocentric and heliocentric mdoels of the universe are historical methods of understanding the universe by assuming, respectively, that the Earth is at the centre of the known universe (geocentrism), and that the Sun is at the centre of the universe (heliocentrism), with all other objects orbiting that fixed point. – Geocentric Model of the Universe – The geocentric model of the universe, also known as the Ptolemaic model, was widespread beginning in Ancient Greece and holds that the Earth lies at the centre of the universe, with all other objects circling it. This is most intuitively obvious in relation to the Sun and the Moon, which, to an observer on the surface of our planet, do seem to follow regular circular paths over our heads. It is a seemingly empirical conclusion based on the evidence that celestial objects (including stars) circle the sky above us, while the ground beneath our feet appears to be at rest. Plato and Aristotle both wrote extensively about a geocentric universe, but is best known for another advocate, Claudius Ptolemaeus, of the Roman era. According to Ptolemy, objects orbited the Earth in distinct concentric circles, or spheres. Within these spheres, furthermore, they actually followed elliptical paths within another sphere, called an epicycle. This added complexity was necessary to account for the fact that, viewed from Earth, close objects like the planets Venus and Mars sometimes seem to stop and even to move backwards in the sky. – Heliocentric Model of the Universe – Despite the best efforts of geocentric scholars in the medieval and Renaissance worlds to account for these strange perturbations, the geocentric model had serious flaws. These were conclusively exposed beginning in the 1500s by four European astronomers: Johannes Kepler, Tycho Brahe, Nicolaus Copernicus, and Galileo Galilei. These men’s systematic observations of the sky indicated that, if indeed objects followed the orbits prescribed by the geocentrists, they could do so only through the arbitrary random intervention of some outside force. Theoretically there was no reason why God would not choose to move the planets any way he liked, but there was a general assumption that he had probably arranged the universe according to predictable natural laws. Although Copernicus and Galileo were astronomers and not theologians, it is important to recognize that their period was a deeply religious one and therefore that such theological questions did carry some significance. Galileo is particularly noted for attracting persecution by the church for his beliefs, although in truth he could likely have published his views without retribution had he not chosen to write them as a “dialogue” between a wise and effective debater representing his own views, and an incompetent opponent modelled after the Pope of the day. In any event, the transition from geocentrism to heliocentrism was not a sudden or smooth one, but the four men were able to demonstrate that the movements of the planets were better explained by a model in which all of them (including the Earth) followed predictable elliptical paths around the central Sun, rather than all of them following the complex Earth-centered circles-within-circles orbits of the Ptolemaic system. Galileo’s further discovery that Jupiter had moons, which orbited Jupiter rather than Earth, was final proof that the Earth was not a special central location around which all other things orbited. Essentially, both the geocentric and heliocentric models of the universe continued to insist that there was a special central position in the universe which was relatively close to Earth. However, the difference between the geocentric and heliocentric models of the universe was that geocentrism held that the Earth was this special central location, while heliocentrism said that the Sun was that location. – Aftermath and Relativity – Heliocentrism was under attack essentially since it was formulated, with mystics like Giordano Bruno arguing that if the Earth were not the centre of the universe, it was senseless and arrogant to assume that the Sun was. It took several centuries for these views to gain full credibility among scientists, however. By the twentieth century, however, the discovery of other galaxies confirmed that neither our planet, nor our sun, nor even our galaxy can be said to occupy a special position relative to other objects in the universe. Indeed, even within our solar system the Sun itself moves or ‘wobbles” around the central point because of the gravitational influence of the planets, especially Jupiter. Philosophically, the death of heliocentrism is a logical consequence of the theory of relativity as well as of the principle of mediocrity, although most commonly it is referred to as the Copernican principle. Despite being a heliocentrist, Copernicus’s name is given to the concept because he was among the first to demonstrate that the Earth was not the centre of the universe. Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially. Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit , provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made . You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.